JUN 3 0 2006

IN THE UNITED STATE

#### **Patent**

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application o	f: Tubbs, Graham S., et al.	)	
Serial No.	09/661,841	) Group Art: 2182	
Filed:	09/14/2000	) Examiner: Niketa I.	Patel
	Wireless Computing Device ing an Application and Wireless system and Method Therefore	) I hereby certify that this corresponde the United States Postal Service as f postage in an envelope addressed to P.O. Box 1450, Ale::andria, VA 22313	irst class mail with sufficie Commissioner for Patent
Mail Stop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		Date of Depo Gayle Beking Company of Person Mailing Company of Person	osit Sh

# REPLY BRIEF

## **IN RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

#### IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANT'S APPEAL

## TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Sir:

Pursuant to the Examiner's Answer mailed on May 5, 2006, Appellant hereby submits this Reply Brief in support of their Appeal from the Final Action dated June 2, 2005. Appellant respectfully requests consideration of this Appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the claims in the above-captioned patent application.

#### VII. ARGUMENT

With respect to the Claim Chart for Claim 1, the Examiner's Answer dated 5/5/06 asserts that "wherein the second processor is capable of initiating the wireless communication independently of the first processor" is taught in column 5, lines 45-49 of Isokoff. Applicant respectfully points out that the cited communication in Isokoff only refers to incoming communications, in particular, an incoming data or fax call. An incoming wireless communication is not a wireless communication initiated by the second processor.

With respect to the Claim Chart for Claim 11, the Examiner's Answer asserts that "wherein the wireless subsystem to initiate a wireless communication with the data from the user independent of the application subsystem" is taught in column 5, lines 45-49 of Isokoff. As illustrated above, receiving an incoming wireless communication is not the same as initiating a wireless communication. Further, Claim 11 recites "a wireless communication with the data from the user." An incoming fax with data from a remote user of the wireless network is initiated remotely, and not initiated by the receiving system.

With respect to the Claim Chart for Claim 18, the Examiner's Answer asserts that "to initiate a wireless communication independent of the application subsystem" is taught in column 5, lines 45-66 of Isokoff. As illustrated above, receiving an incoming wireless communication is not the same as initiating a wireless communication.

Serial No: 09/661,841

# **Conclusion**

Appellant respectfully submits that all the pending claims in this patent application are patentable and request that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences overrule the Examiner and direct allowance of the rejected claims.

If any fee insufficiency or overpayment is found, please charge any insufficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Intel Corporation
Date:June 26, 2006	/Rita M. Wisor/ 41,382
	Rita M. Wisor Reg. No. 41,382
Attorney Phone Number:	(512) 732-3923
Correspondence Address:	Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Blvd Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1026





TDANSMITTAL FORM		Application No.	09/661,841		
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date	September 14, 2000		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		First Named Inventor	Graham S. Tubbs		
		Art Unit	2182		
			Examiner Name	Niketa I. Patel	
Total Number of P	ages in This Submissi	on 6	Attorney Docket Number	42390P9741	
	ENCLOS	SURES (checi	k all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal F	-orm	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee Attach	ned	Licensing-r	elated Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Re	sponse	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information	
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Terminal Disclaimer			
Information Disclosure Statement		Request for	Refund	Reply Brief Return Postcard	
TO/SB/08		CD, Numbe	er of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landso	cape Table on CD		
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks	T		
Basic Filing Fee Declaration/POA					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or	Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926				
Individual name BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP					
Signature					
Date June 26, 2006					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.					
Typed or printed name Gayle Bekish					

Date

June 26, 2006

Signature

JUN 3 0 2006 TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005 Complete if Known Application Number 09/661,841 September 14, 2000 Filing Date Patent fees are subject to annual revision. First Named Inventor Graham S. Tubbs **Examiner Name** Niketa I. Patel Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. 2182 42390P9741 Art Unit

TOTAL A	MOUNT OF	PAYMEN	T	(\$) Attorney Docket No. 42390P9741	
METHO	D OF PAY	MENT (c.	heck all	that apply)	
☐Check [	Credit ca	ard $\square$ M	Ioney O	rder None Other (please identify):	
Deposit.	Account D	Deposit Ac	count N	Jumber: 02-2666 Deposit Account Name: Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Za	ıfman LLP
				ount, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
	arge fee(s)			Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the	filing fee
				underpayment of fee(s)	
FEE CALCU			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		_	_		- 4
Large E		Small	<u> </u>		
Fee	Fee	Fee		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Code 1051	(\$) 130	2051	,		
1051	50	2051		Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
				Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.	
2053	130	2053		Non-English specification	
1251	120	2251		Extension for reply within first month	
1252	450	2252		Extension for reply within second month	
1253	1,020	2253		Extension for reply within third month	
1254	1,590	2254		Extension for reply within fourth month	
1255	2,160	2255		Extension for reply within fifth month	
1401	500	2401		Notice of Appeal	
1402	500	2402		Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1403	1,000	2403		Request for oral hearing	
1451	1,510	2451		Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1460	130	2460		Petitions to the Commissioner	
1807	50	1807		Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
1806	180	1806		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
1809	790	1809		Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
Other f	ee (spe	cify) _			
				SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	

SUBMITTED BY					Complete (if applicable)	
Name (Print/Type)	Gregory D. Caldwell	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	39,926	Telephone	(503) 439-8778	
Signature				Date	06/26/06	

**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT**